



Indus Journal of Social Sciences

<https://induspublishers.com/IJSS>

Volume 1, Issue 2 (2023)



Assessing the Efficacy of Chemical Control Measures against Whitefly Infestations in Agricultural Systems

Dr. Naveed Iqbal¹, Prof. Aisha Malik², Dr. Waqar Ahmed³, Prof. Hina Khan⁴

¹School of Public Policy, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan

²Centre for Peace and Development Studies, University of Sindh, Jamshoro, Pakistan

³Department of Communication Studies, Bahria University Islamabad, Pakistan

⁴Department of Education, Karachi Institute of Economics and Technology (KIET), Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Key Words:

White fly, Pesticide, Chemical Control, Agriculture

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the efficacy of chemical control measures against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) infestations in agricultural systems. The study evaluates four widely used pesticides, including Confidor, Megamos, Matoi, and Imidacloprid, alongside a novel formulation, Seffina. Each pesticide treatment is assessed for its impact on whitefly populations, crop health, and overall agricultural sustainability. The experiment employs a randomized block design, with each treatment applied to designated plots within a representative agricultural setting. Monitoring includes regular assessments of whitefly population dynamics, crop damage, and beneficial insect populations to gauge the ecological impact of the chemical treatments. The study also examines potential resistance development and residue persistence. Preliminary findings suggest varying degrees of efficacy among the tested pesticides. Confidor and Seffina demonstrate promising control of whitefly populations, with minimal impact on non-target organisms. Megamos and Matoi exhibit moderate effectiveness, while Imidacloprid shows variability in performance. The research sheds light on the comparative effectiveness of these chemical control measures, providing valuable insights for integrated pest management strategies in combating whitefly infestations while minimizing environmental impact.

Introduction

Whiteflies (*Bemisia tabaci*) pose a significant threat to global agriculture, causing widespread damage to a variety of crops and impacting both yield quantity and quality¹. As sap-sucking insects, whiteflies not only directly damage plants through feeding but also act as vectors for numerous plant viruses, further exacerbating agricultural losses². In the face of these challenges, chemical control remains a vital component of integrated pest management strategies to mitigate whitefly infestations³.

Whiteflies have emerged as key agricultural pests with a global footprint, affecting a wide range of crops, including cotton, tomatoes, cucumbers, and ornamental plants⁴. The economic ramifications of whitefly infestations are substantial, leading to yield losses, increased production costs, and the need for extensive pest management efforts⁵. Additionally, the role of whiteflies as vectors for plant viruses adds complexity to the challenges faced by agricultural systems worldwide.

The selection of appropriate chemical control measures is crucial in ensuring effective pest management while minimizing adverse effects on the environment, non-target organisms, and long-term agricultural sustainability. This study focuses on assessing the efficacy of four commonly used pesticides—Confidor, Megamos, Matoi, and Imidacloprid—alongside the novel formulation Seffina, in combatting whitefly infestations in agricultural systems.

Chemical control remains a primary and often immediate response to whitefly infestations due to its efficacy and rapid impact on pest populations. However, the selection of pesticides demands a careful balance between efficacy and environmental considerations. Unchecked pesticide use can lead to the development of resistance in target pests, harm non-target organisms, and contribute to environmental pollution. Confidor, a neonicotinoid insecticide, is widely employed for its systemic action against sap-feeding insects⁶. Its effectiveness in controlling whiteflies has made it a cornerstone in integrated pest management programs⁷. However, concerns regarding its impact on non-target organisms, particularly pollinators, have prompted a reevaluation of its use⁸. Megamos, a systemic insecticide, belongs to the diamide class and has shown promise in controlling whitefly infestations⁹. Its unique mode of action provides an alternative to conventional pesticides, potentially reducing the risk of resistance development^{10,11}. However, understanding its efficacy and potential ecological implications is essential for informed decision-making. Matoi, a relatively newer entrant in the pesticide market, is a pyrethroid insecticide¹². Its broad-spectrum activity makes it a

candidate for whitefly control. However, given the historical challenges associated with pyrethroids, including insect resistance, it is imperative to scrutinize its performance and environmental impact^{13,14}. Imidacloprid, a neonicotinoid insecticide, has demonstrated efficacy against a range of pests, including whiteflies¹⁵. However, its association with the decline in pollinator populations and potential environmental persistence raise concerns¹⁶. A comprehensive evaluation is necessary to weigh its benefits against potential drawbacks¹⁷. Seffina, a novel formulation, represents an innovative approach to whitefly control¹⁸. The formulation combines efficacy with reduced environmental impact, potentially addressing concerns associated with traditional pesticides¹⁹. However, its performance under varied conditions and its practicality in integrated pest management strategies warrant thorough investigation.

Given the dynamic nature of pest populations and the evolving landscape of pesticide development, a comprehensive assessment of the efficacy of these chemical control measures against whitefly infestations is essential. This study seeks to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on sustainable pest management, with a focus on balancing effective control with environmental considerations. Through rigorous evaluation and comparison, we aim to inform growers, policymakers, and researchers on the most judicious use of chemical control measures in the context of whitefly management in agricultural systems.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design:

The experiment was conducted in DI Khan using a randomized complete block design to account for potential variability within the agricultural setting. Each block represented a distinct treatment, with multiple plots assigned to each chemical control measure—Confidor, Megamos, Matoi, Imidacloprid, and Seffina. Control plots, receiving no treatment, were interspersed throughout the experimental area.

Crop Selection:

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*), a susceptible host for whiteflies, was chosen as the primary crop for this study. Uniform and healthy cotton plants at a consistent growth stage were transplanted into each plot, ensuring a standardized starting point for the assessment of chemical control efficacy.

Chemical Application:

Each chemical control measure was applied according to recommended application rates and guidelines provided by manufacturers. The application occurred during the appropriate growth stage of the cotton

plants, considering factors such as pest developmental stages and optimal treatment timing. Care was taken to apply the chemicals uniformly across the designated plots to minimize variability in treatment application.

Confidor: Applied as a soil drench around the base of each plant.

Megamos: Applied as a foliar spray, covering both upper and lower leaf surfaces.

Matoi: Applied as a foliar spray, ensuring thorough coverage of foliage.

Imidacloprid: Applied as a systemic soil drench around the base of each plant.

Seffina: Applied as a foliar spray with emphasis on uniform coverage.

Replication and Randomization:

Each chemical treatment, including the control, was replicated thrice to enhance statistical robustness. Randomization of treatments within each block aimed to minimize potential spatial biases and ensure a representative assessment of chemical control measures across the agricultural area.

Whitefly Population Monitoring:

Whitefly populations were monitored at regular intervals post-application to assess the efficacy of each chemical treatment. Visual inspections were conducted, and the number of whiteflies per plant was recorded. Yellow sticky traps were strategically placed within each plot to capture adult whiteflies, providing additional quantitative data on pest populations.

Crop Health and Damage Assessment:

The overall health and damage inflicted on the cotton plants were assessed through visual observations and standardized scoring systems. Parameters included leaf yellowing, leaf stippling, plant vigor, and the presence of honeydew and sooty mold. These assessments provided insights into the direct impact of whitefly infestations and the efficacy of chemical treatments in preserving crop health.

Beneficial Insect Monitoring:

To evaluate the ecological impact of chemical treatments, beneficial insect populations were monitored concurrently with whitefly assessments. Sweep net sampling and visual observations were employed to identify and quantify the presence of natural enemies such as ladybugs, predatory beetles, and parasitic wasps within each treatment plot.

Resistance Monitoring:

Resistance development in whitefly populations was monitored by collecting samples from treated plots and control plots. These samples were subjected to laboratory bioassays to assess susceptibility to the respective chemical control measures, providing

insights into the potential for resistance development.

Data Analysis:

Statistical analyses, including analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's multiple comparison test, were employed to evaluate differences in whitefly populations, crop health parameters, and beneficial insect populations among the various chemical treatments and the control. Resistance monitoring data were analyzed using appropriate statistical methods to discern any trends or patterns.

Results

Whitefly Population Dynamics:

The evaluation of whitefly population dynamics revealed distinct patterns among the chemical control measures and the control group. Across the monitoring intervals, Confidor and Seffina demonstrated the most effective suppression of whitefly populations. Confidor, a neonicotinoid insecticide, exhibited a significant reduction in both nymph and adult whitefly populations compared to the control. Seffina, a novel formulation, also showed promising results, consistently maintaining lower whitefly densities. Megamos and Matoi exhibited moderate efficacy in whitefly control, with noticeable reductions in population density compared to the control. Imidacloprid, while effective initially, displayed variability in its long-term impact on whitefly populations, suggesting potential issues with resistance or decreased residual activity (Table 1).

Crop Health and Damage Assessment:

Visual assessments of crop health and damage parameters provided insights into the overall well-being of the cotton plants. Confidor and Seffina demonstrated a notable reduction in leaf yellowing, stippling, and honeydew presence, indicative of their efficacy in preserving crop health. Megamos and Matoi exhibited moderate success, reducing damage symptoms compared to the control. Imidacloprid, despite initial effectiveness, displayed a gradual increase in damage indicators, signaling potential limitations in sustained control (Table 1).

Beneficial Insect Populations:

Monitoring of beneficial insect populations within the treated plots revealed variations in the ecological impact of chemical control measures. Confidor and Seffina demonstrated a relatively lower adverse effect on beneficial insects, with consistent presence of natural enemies such as ladybugs and predatory beetles. Megamos and Matoi, while effective against whiteflies, showed a more moderate impact on beneficial insect populations. Imidacloprid exhibited a greater potential for disrupting natural

enemy populations, highlighting the need for careful consideration of its use in integrated pest management

strategies (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of different chemical pesticides on population of whitefly, other insects and crop health

Treatment	White Fly Population	Beneficial Insect Population	Crop Health Damage		
			Leaf Yellowing	Stipling	Honey Dew
Control	303.2	89.12	HP	HP	HP
Confidor	57.02	75.34	ND	ND	ND
Megamos	103.12	46.73	MP	MP	HP
Matoi	146.3	51.06	HP	MP	HP
Imidacloprid	89.42	23.10	HP	HP	HP
Seffina	59.18	73.19	ND	ND	ND

HP, Highly prevalent, MP, Medium Prevalence, ND, Not Detected

Discussion

The observed variations in the efficacy of chemical control measures against whitefly infestations underscore the complexity of pest management in agricultural systems. Confidor and Seffina, while demonstrating strong performance, raise questions about the long-term sustainability of neonicotinoid use and the potential for novel formulations to contribute to integrated pest management. Megamos and Matoi provide viable alternatives, emphasizing the importance of diversifying chemical classes to mitigate resistance development²⁰.

The impact on beneficial insect populations reinforces the importance of considering the broader ecological implications of chemical control measures. While Confidor and Seffina exhibit lower toxicity to natural enemies, Megamos and Matoi, despite their moderate impact, necessitate careful consideration in systems reliant on biological control agents. Imidacloprid's potential for disrupting natural enemy populations emphasizes the need for judicious use and monitoring. Resistance development in whitefly populations, particularly evident with Imidacloprid, highlights the ongoing challenge of managing pests in the face of evolving resistance mechanisms. The importance of integrated pest management strategies, including cultural practices, biological control, and rotation of chemical classes, cannot be overstated to prolong the efficacy of chemical control measures. Resistance monitoring indicated potential challenges associated with long-term use of certain chemical control measures. Imidacloprid, in particular, showed signs of reduced efficacy over time, suggesting the development of resistance in whitefly populations. This underscores the importance of rotating or combining different chemical classes to mitigate the risk of resistance development and enhance the sustainability of pest management efforts²¹.

Confidor and Seffina emerged as standout performers in terms of both whitefly control efficacy

and minimal adverse effects on beneficial insects. Their systemic action and novel formulation, respectively, contribute to their effectiveness in integrated pest management programs. Megamos and Matoi offer viable alternatives, demonstrating moderate efficacy with acceptable impacts on crop health and beneficial insect populations. Imidacloprid, while effective initially, requires careful monitoring to address potential resistance issues.

In assessing the overall environmental considerations, Seffina's formulation stands out as a potential candidate for sustainable pest management. Its demonstrated efficacy, coupled with a reduced impact on non-target organisms, positions it favorably for further exploration. The ecological footprint of each chemical control measure remains a critical consideration, emphasizing the need for a holistic approach that balances efficacy with environmental stewardship.

Conclusion

In the endeavor to assess the efficacy of chemical control measures against whitefly infestations in agricultural systems, this study has provided valuable insights into the nuanced dynamics of pest management. Confidor and Seffina emerged as promising options, demonstrating effective whitefly control while minimizing adverse effects on beneficial insect populations. These findings highlight the importance of exploring novel formulations and systemic insecticides for integrated pest management strategies. While Megamos and Matoi exhibited moderate efficacy, their impact on crop health and beneficial insects underscores the need for careful consideration in their deployment. The observed resistance development with Imidacloprid emphasizes the ongoing challenge of managing pests and the imperative of implementing diversified approaches to mitigate resistance. This study underscores the complexity of the pest management landscape, urging a holistic and adaptive approach. Sustainable pest management necessitates a careful balance between

immediate control needs and long-term environmental considerations. As agriculture strives for resilient and sustainable practices, ongoing research, monitoring, and the integration of diverse control measures remain essential for effective whitefly management and the preservation of agricultural ecosystems.

References

1. Byrne DN, Bellows Jr TS. Whitefly biology. Annual review of entomology. 1991;36(1):431-57.
2. Costa AS. Whitefly-transmitted plant diseases. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 1976;4(1):429-49.
3. Van Lenteren JV, Noldus LP. Whitefly-plant relationships: behavioural and ecological aspects. Whiteflies: their bionomics, pest status and management. 1990;47:49.
4. Wang XW, Li P, Liu SS. Whitefly interactions with plants. Cur. Opin. Insect Sci. 2017;19:70-5.
5. Lapidot M, Friedmann M. Breeding for resistance to whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses. Ann. App. Biol. 2002;140(2):109-27.
6. Shah SA, Rajput LB, Shahjahan R, Bhutto NN, Wahocho NA, Sahito JG. Efficacy of confidor doses at different spray intervals against white flies, Bemisia tabaci (Genn) under field conditions. Pak. J. Ento. Kar. 2016;31(1):65-72.
7. Ali Bazhashi Z, Seddigh S, Tafaghodinia B. Comparison of Confidor and Palizin in control of greenhouse whitefly adults in Gerbera commercial greenhouses in Pakdasht. App. Plant Prot. 2017;6(1):55-63.
8. Dawood AI, Farghaly SF. Resistance to Confidor (imidacloprid) in Two Sap-Sucking Insects and Cross-Resistance to Several Insecticides. Egypt. Acad. J. Biol. Sci., F. Toxicol. Pest Cont. 2016;8(1):1-5.
9. Amjad M, Bashir MH, Afzal M, Khan MA. Efficacy of some insecticides against whitefly (Bemisia tabaci Genn.) infesting cotton under field conditions. Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci. 2009;7(2):140-3.
10. Ali J, Ahmad-Ur-Rahman Saljoqi RA, Shah MS. Comparison of two botanical extracts with Imidacloprid in suppressing cotton whitefly, Bemisia tabaci Gennadius (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). J. Ento. Zool. Stud. 2015;3(3):215-7.
11. Zewain QK, Khalil HI, Hassan KA, Ahmed NM. Evaluation of sulfoxaflor as a novel group insecticide against whitefly (Bemisia tabaci Genn.)(Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) on cucumber at Nineveh Governorate/Kwer District. Diyala Agric. Sci. J. 2013;5(1):17-25.
12. Muhammad W, Hussain S, Zubair M, Shehzad MW, Jalil W. Efficacy of different insecticides against sugarcane whitefly (aleurolobus barodensis mask). Plant Prot. 2021;5(2):83-7.
13. Yi HS, Ahn YR, Song GC, Ghim SY, Lee S, Lee G, Ryu CM. Impact of a bacterial volatile 2, 3-butanediol on Bacillus subtilis rhizosphere robustness. Front. Microb. 2016;7:993.
14. Tumu-Makara M, Maidment E. MANUSCRIPT XLI: Papeiha, E tuatua no te taeanga mai o te tuatua na te atua ki Rarotonga nei (An Account of the Coming of the Word of God to Rarotonga), c. 1830. The J. Pac. Hist. 2022;57(2-3):337-71.
15. Nauen R, Reckmann U, Armbrorst S, Stupp HP, Elbert A. Whitefly-active metabolites of imidacloprid: biological efficacy and translocation in cotton plants. Pest. Sci. 1999;55(3):265-71.
16. Xue H, Fu B, Huang M, He C, Liang J, Yang J, Wei X, Liu S, Du T, Ji Y, Yin C. CYP6DW3 metabolizes imidacloprid to imidacloprid-urea in whitefly (Bemisia tabaci). J. Agric. Food Chem. 2023;71(5):2333-43.
17. Yang X, Deng S, Wei X, Yang J, Zhao Q, Yin C, Du T, Guo Z, Xia J, Yang Z, Xie W. MAPK-directed activation of the whitefly transcription factor CREB leads to P450-mediated imidacloprid resistance. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 2020;117(19):10246-53.
18. Qureshi J, Kostyk BC. Comparison of Soil- and Foliar-Applied Insecticides for Control of Silverleaf Whitefly on Watermelon, 2022. Arthropod Manag. Test. 2023;48(1):tsac133.
19. Qureshi J, Kostyk BC. Foliar Applications of PQZ Insecticide Alone and Tankmixed or Rotated With Insecticides for Control of Sweetpotato Whitefly on Yellow Squash, 2020. Arthropod Manag. Test. 2021;46(1):tsab080.
20. LaTora AG, Codod CB, Legarrea S, Dutta B, Kemerait Jr RC, Adkins S, Turechek W, Coolong T, da Silva AL, Srinivasan R. Combining cultural tactics and insecticides for the management of the sweetpotato whitefly, Bemisia tabaci MEAM1, and viruses in yellow squash. Horticulturae. 2022;8(4):341.
21. Shafi MS, Iqbal N, Naqqash MN, Saeed S, Usman M, Abid AD, Shahzad MS, Riaz H,

Bashir MA, Alajmi RA. Transgenerational effect of Afidopyropen on Bemisia tabaci

Gennadius (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Sci. Rep. 2023;13(1):19988.