Indus Journal of Social Sciences

Online ISSN: 2960-219X
Print ISSN: 2960-2203
Volume 3, Number 1, 2025, Pages 657 – 667
Journal Home Page
https://induspublishers.com/IJSS/about

Towards Inclusive AI: A Theoretical Exploration of Sociolinguistic Variations and Biases in NLP Models

Manzar Shahbaz[1] & Saqlain Mushtaq[2]

[1]*MPhil English Scholar, University of Education, Lahore*
[2]*M.Phil Scholar, Minhaj University, Lahore*

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received:	*January*	*28, 2025*
Revised:	*February*	*27, 2025*
Accepted:	*March*	*02, 2025*
Available Online:	*March*	*05, 2025*

Keywords:

Sociolinguistics, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Natural Language Processing (NLP), HumanMachine Interaction, Linguistic Variation, Speech Acts, Politeness Strategies, Code-Switching.

Corresponding Author:
Saqlain Mushtaq
Email:
why2manzar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

To improve the interaction between humans and machines, the integration of sociolinguistic concepts into artificial intelligence (AI) systems marks a significant step forward. Sociolinguistics studies how social factors like culture, identity and context shape language thus providing essential understandings for addressing the linguistic and cultural diversity among global AI users. This paper explores the theoretical aspects of how sociolinguistics influences AI communication, emphasizing how concepts such as linguistic variation, pragmatic competence, and conversational context can help create more adaptable and inclusive AI systems. The analysis starts by placing AI's increasing role in daily communication into context, pinpointing shortcomings in current models that struggle to replicate sociolinguistic behaviors like accommodating regional dialects, managing code-switching, and understanding indirect speech acts. The paper investigates the challenges that Artificial intelligence (AI) faces in representation of marginalized linguistic communities and also addresses biases present in training dataset by drawing on foundational sociolinguistic theories from Labov (2006) and Gumperz (1982), along with advancements in natural language processing (NLP). The study points out specific areas where insights from sociolinguistics can enhance AI design, for example managing linguistic diversity, understanding pragmatic nuances, and facilitating cross-cultural communication. It also considers ethical issues like fairness and inclusivity in AI training and deployment. This research aims to advance both theoretical understanding and practical applications in human-machine interaction by proposing a conceptual framework that incorporates sociolinguistic principles into Artificial Intelligence (AI).

Introduction

The relationship between sociolinguistics and artificial intelligence (AI) has become an important field of study, particularly because it focuses on improving how we communicate with machines. Sociolinguistics looks at how language and society influence each other, taking into account cultural, political, social, and regional factors that shape the way we speak and understand language. Exploring the interplay between AI and a range of factors facilitates researchers in making our interactions with AI feel both natural and human-like, meaning there is no breakaway between communication by humans and responses by technology. This interactivity, on the other hand, is what AI, especially in the area of NLP, aims at making machines understand and produce human languages. The rich diversity and complexity of human language behavior, including accents, dialects, code-switching, and cultural idioms, pose critical challenges for the present AI systems (Labov, 2006). These challenges illustrate a need for the incorporation of principles from sociolinguistics in developing AI that would improve its ability to deal with a variety of communicative styles.

Despite incredible progress made by AI technologies such as chatbots and virtual assistants, they often struggle to understand variations in language. Most models of natural language processing (NLP) are trained on a standard language variety, and as a consequence, knowledge on regional languages and other dialectical usages is often hindered. Moreover, such systems are often difficult to deal with contextual and defensive defenses, such as politeness strategies or rhetorical practices (Grice, 1975; Brown and Levinson, 2011). These limitations highlight the need for a theoretical exploration of how a sociolinguistic framework can address these issues and improve communication processes in artificial intelligence (AI).

Significance of Study

This study is important because it contributes to the growing communication between human language and AI and provides the benefits of theory and work. At a conceptual level, we combine well-established sociolinguistic theories with artificial intelligence research to provide new insights into linguistic variation and its impact on human-machine communication. This study really gives important recommendations toward the design of inclusive AI systems and processes, and in so doing, provides a better user experience in linguistic and cultural domains. Also, attending to these biases in artificial intelligence will arm minority communities with the requisite rights and grant full representation and equity in artificial intelligence technology. This study also provides a foundation for future research that explores ways social networks can improve AI communication.

Research Gap

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in sociolinguistics is still limited despite its advances in language applications. Many current AI models exhibit a negative bias that reduces language communities to the point of being visible (Bender et al., 2021). Furthermore, important sociolinguistic aspects like code-switching, regional dialects, and sociolects are often neglected during AI training. This highlights the need for a more thorough investigation into how sociolinguistic concepts can improve AI's understanding of context and its ability to adapt to various communication styles.

Research Questions

The exploration tries to find the answers of the following questions:

1. How can AI systems overcome sociolinguistic differences in human communication?
2. Which sociolinguistic system can improve the ability of NLP models to interpret different linguistic behaviors?
3. How can improved effort to eliminate bias in the data help better the interaction of AI with marginalized language communities?

Objectives

This paper looks into how sociolinguistic theory provides for the observations and analysis of some of the communications between AIs and other humans, with a view to enhancing the quality of communication and interaction across cultures. This will involve an examination of key theories within sociolinguistics like social variation (Labov, 2006)-studying language variation with the social consequences arising from it-and sociolinguistics (Gumperz, 1982)-the study of how context affects the meaning ascribed to utterances-to best appreciate how they would apply to the artificial intelligence systems. Based on these results, a framework will be proposed to incorporate language principles into artificial intelligence learning systems, enabling machines to better understand and adapt to a wide range of linguistic and cultural differences, thereby enabling broader communication and effective development.

Delimitations

The emphasis here is on exploring theories rather than collecting real data for proof. This is to see how sociolinguistic theories can inform AI design, though the same will not be tested against some AI models or systems. The limits to Natural Language Processing (NLP) applications would include chatbots and virtual intelligent agents, excluding broad functions like computer vision or robotics.

Future Directions

Future research should focus systematically on the application of sociolinguistic frameworks in assessing AI development to manage linguistic diversity. Therefore, speech analysis, that is analysis of spoken and unwritten languages, will be beneficial in providing insights into the limitations and possible improvements to AI. Furthermore, developing linguistically diverse datasets for AI training will help overcome coding gaps and improve integration. In addition, establishing ethical guidelines for incorporating sociolinguistic principles into AI design will ensure fairness, transparency, and appropriate outcomes in communication mediated by Artificial Intelligence (AI).

Theoretical Background

Sociolinguistics explores how social factors like culture, class, gender, and ethnicity shape language use and variation. The foundational theories in this field offer essential understandings of human communication that can be applied to the development of systems of artificial intelligence (AI).

Variationist Sociolinguistics is a key framework in sociolinguistics which was developed by William Labov (2006). It investigates systematic linguistic differences linked to social variables. This theory is especially pertinent for AI systems that seek to comprehend various dialects and sociolects. For instance, virtual assistants such as Siri or Google Assistant often have difficulty accurately interpreting non-standard dialects or regional accents, a challenge stemming from the limited diversity in their training datasets. AI systems could improve by incorporating Labov's approach to better represent and process linguistic diversity.

Interactional Sociolinguistics, introduced by John Gumperz (1982), emphasizes the importance of context and conversational cues in communication. Gumperz's idea of contextualization cues—non-verbal signals like intonation, prosody, or pauses—illustrates how meaning is collaboratively constructed during interactions. For AI systems, this theory highlights the necessity for advanced models that can interpret and generate language that depends on context. Chatbots frequently struggle with indirect requests or insults that depend significantly on contextual clues.

Alongside the sociolinguistic framework, various theories of pragmatics, including cooperative principles and Grice's maxims (1975), are crucial for AI studies. These theories elucidate how humans interpret the meaning of conversations and assist AI systems in addressing performance issues. For example, while humans understand the meaning of saying something like "It's cold in here" to ask them to close the window, AI systems compete to make that decision.

AI systems struggle with linguistic diversity. Research by Bender et al. (2021) shows that numerous NLP models tend to favor standard languages or dominant linguistic groups thus leading to inadequate performance for marginalized or less-represented languages. This brings up ethical issues regarding fairness and inclusivity, particularly in global contexts where linguistic diversity is common.

Literature Review

The latest works in the area where sociolinguistics and artificial intelligence combine demonstrate that language diversity, functional capabilities, and consideration of ethics constitute an important triad in making coherent, effective communication systems in AI. Kelly-Holmes (2019) insists on the importance of integrating imbalances occurring on the intersections of sociolinguistics into designs of AI in considering how natural language processing (NLP) must accommodate differences like dialects, sociolects, and multilingualism for inclusive usability.

Theoretical frameworks like Gumperz's (1982) interactional sociolinguistics have been utilized to enhance chatbot design, particularly in understanding conversational subtleties such as contextual cues and cultural implications, which in turn improves user interaction. Likewise, Hohenstein et al. (2023) contend that AI-mediated communication systems have a significant impact on language use and social relationships, making it essential to apply sociolinguistic theories to develop AI that promotes effective and equitable communication. Yang et al. (2024) further this discussion by exploring AI's role in intercultural communication, stressing its potential to bridge cultural divides while also addressing challenges in interpreting idiomatic expressions and culturally specific norms.

Linguistic diversity in AI continues to be a crucial area of focus. Bender et al. (2021) emphasize this issue by focusing on standard languages and models of NLP, which often lead to biasness in dialect and minority language. They argue that large-scale language data should be incorporated into AI training to reduce inherent bias and to increase accuracy. Building on this, Georgiou (2024) critiques the linguistic characteristics of AI-generated texts, pointing out significant

differences in how these texts manage nuanced linguistic elements compared to those created by humans. This limitation highlights the necessity for AI systems that can emulate the complexity of human communication.

AI systems often face challenges in understanding indirect speech acts, sarcasm, and conversational implicatures, as highlighted by Grice's (1975) Cooperative Principle. Nazeer et al. (2024) suggest that interaction-based models could help AI systems develop pragmatic competence through iterative learning and context-sensitive engagement, leading to more nuanced and human-like communication abilities.

Ethical considerations in AI research are also important. Bias in AI training data can perpetuate stereotypes and marginalize certain communities, as stated by Binns et al. (2018). They point out that biased algorithms can worsen inequalities in communication, resulting in systemic exclusion. Umbrello and Natale (2022) promote a human-centered approach to AI design, emphasizing the importance of accountability in data management and ethical AI practices to address bias. Additionally, Sun and Asmawi (2024) study on machine-to-machine interaction suggests that incorporating leadership skills—such as critical speech, emotional awareness, and contextual understanding—into AI systems can improve behavior and the quality of interactions. Their findings indicate that a deeper understanding of interfaces can lead to the creation of a meaningful communication system that aligns more closely with human communication.

Together, these insights highlight the necessity of integrating cultural perspectives into AI research and design. By tackling language barriers, fostering empathy, and refining behavior, we can enhance AI's capacity to communicate effectively across different languages and contexts. By applying social thinking to current AI challenges, researchers can develop systems that not only meet needs but also encourage solidarity, justice, and relationships in our digital world.

Methodology

In order to examine how sociolinguistics and artificial intelligence (AI) interface in humanmachine communication, this study uses a theoretical exploration approach. It begins by framing a theoretical outline initially based on principles which includes Gumperz' (1982) interactional sociolinguistics, Labov's (2006) linguistic variation and pragmatics theory by Grice (1975), in order to assess their relevance in designing AI. At the same time, AI frameworks like Natural Language Processing (NLP) and ethical AI design are reviewed to pinpoint gaps and opportunities for incorporating sociolinguistic insights. A systematic comparative analysis is performed to evaluate how current AI systems, such as Google Translate, Siri, or ChatGPT, manage linguistic diversity, conversational pragmatics, and sociocultural nuances. This involves looking at their capacity to deal with regional dialects, code-switching, and indirect speech acts. Additionally, secondary case studies of AI-mediated communication systems are included to provide context for theoretical insights, such as examining performance reviews of chatbots in multilingual environments and how virtual assistants handle cultural idioms.

The research is based on secondary literature, based on foundational works such as Labov (2006), Gumperz (1982), and Grice (1975), along with more recent studies like Bender et al. (2021) and Brandizzi (2023). Real-world examples from AI systems such as Alexa, Siri, and Google Translate offer practical contexts for the theoretical discussions. Furthermore, corpus analysis tools like AntConc and Sketch Engine are utilized to examine linguistic patterns, diversity, and pragmatic usage in AI-generated content. These tools help reveal biases, including the neglect of non-standard dialects and insufficient management of multilingual communication.

A content analysis reveals recurring themes and gaps in AI's sociolinguistic abilities, with a focus on linguistic diversity, pragmatic competence, and sociocultural nuances. Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) investigates the biases that might result in AI-generated texts, looking at how training data might echo societal stereotypes or marginalize minority languages. CDA, for example, might emphasize sociolinguistic power dynamics that are either reproduced or challenged through AI systems. This conceptual framework pulls these insights together, with validation provided through hypothetical scenarios and comparisons with existing ethical AI guidelines. The framework emphasizes what must be done to develop better adaptability in AI systems with respect to linguistic variation, contextual understanding, and communicatory fairness.

The study highlights the importance of using contextual information, especially in AI training data, to ensure linguistic and cultural diversity. It promotes fairness and inclusion in AI systems and encourages designs that respect and adapt to linguistic and cultural diversity. In addition, open processes towards development and accountability in AI would enhance users' trust and support ethical behavior.

This study, even though providing a solid theoretical foundation, remains limited from a validity standpoint because it relies on qualitative data. Language and sociolinguistics pose a challenge in generalizing his findings. Therefore, these limitations open avenues for future empirical research that would test and reshape his framework in the contexts of diverse sociolinguistic situations.

In summary, this methodology provides a thorough approach to integrating sociolinguistic principles into AI design. By addressing linguistic diversity, improving pragmatic competence, and tackling ethical challenges, this research aids in the development of more human-centered and inclusive AI technologies.

Analysis

This section considers the role of AI in overcoming linguistic variation, pragmatic competence, biases, and cross-cultural communication, drawing attention to the sociolinguistic problems and their likely solutions.

Linguistic Variation

The AI systems face several issues while accommodating in linguistic variation with regard to regional accents, sociolects, or code-switching. For example, Alexa, Siri and Google Assistant are designed to comprehend Standard English, but their effectiveness diminishes when users speak in strong regional accents or use non-standard varieties. Some strides have been made in the recognition of diverse accents, such as Scottish or Indian English, but other regional dialects and sociolects are sparsely represented in the AI training datasets (Bender et al., 2021).

The addition of code-switching brings a different layer of complexity. Especially in multilingual contexts, speakers switch between different languages or dialects in conversation. To be able to respond appropriately, AI systems should be trained on datasets that reflect this codeswitching. For example, a country like Canada or Pakistan, which is bilingual, needs virtual assistants to understand and respond to mixed codes of English and the most widely spoken local languages: French and Urdu. Because of sociolinguistic rules that are incorporated in the model training, the AI systems are better configured to handle this variation successfully, which ensures inclusivity and satisfaction from the user's side.

Pragmatic Competence

For artificial intelligence systems, replicating human pragmatics has remained a formidable challenge.AI continues to interpret speech with literal meaning, whereas humans deduce indirect meanings from conversational and environmental signals. This restriction stems from the inability to use Gricean maxims to interpret discourse implicature, such as sarcasm or indirect requests (Grice, 1975). As in an example, if a user says, "It is a bit cold in here," a human could infer this request as "please turn up the heater," and yet an AI system may completely miss the point and infringe the implicit request.

Joining in that category are sarcasm and humor, which are heavily reliant on tone, context, and cultural knowledge and thus, pose some serious challenges for AI. Pragmatic theories such as the Cooperative Principle and Relevance Theory, among others, would further help create models that could draw inferences about non-literal meaning. Interaction-oriented learning algorithms and real-world conversational data could enhance further even an AI system's understanding of pragmatic nuances and make interaction more natural and effective.

Bias and Ethical Issues

The sociolinguistic biases in AI systems generally result from the datasets on which they are trained. Most datasets favor the dominant languages and dialects at the expense of regional or minority varieties. This bias not only hampers AI systems in their working capacity but also strengthens inequities between languages. For example, Binns et al. (2018) explain how biased datasets serve to corroborate other stereotypes by linking accents to socioeconomic or cultural traits.

In addressing this issue, the developers need to ensure that a balanced dataset includes various linguistic and cultural resources. The ethical principles proposed during the annotation processes to prevent negative stereotypes from arising must be duly honored. An explication of such bias mitigation will make it easier for AI systems to further communication equity by giving voiceless communities the power to inform, enabling full service for a greater good.

Cross-Cultural Communication

The AI-human interaction is influenced by cultural norms, since language use varies from one cultural context to another. According to sociolinguistic theories, such as Interactional Sociolinguistics (Gumperz, 1982), sociolinguistic relevance to contextual cues in human communication embraces such contextual setups, Relevance to proceedings established by pragmatic elements in the interpretive frames embraces intonation, pauses, and gesture, respectively. For AI systems to effectively bridge cultural divides, those signals of contextualization need to also be learned. For example, a virtual assistant deployed in Japan should have well-grounded knowledge in honorifics and indirect communication styles. This will be quite different than one deployed in the US, which will need to cater to more directness and informal speech patterns.

Hence, if such differences are neglected, miscommunication and a frustrated user are bound to happen. Designing AI systems with cross-cultural sensitivity would be achieved by training on linguistically and culturally diverse data while also having the input of experts from both sociolinguistics and cultural studies.

Findings

This study points out the uniquely transformative potential of integrating sociolinguistic tenets into AI systems that had the potential to ameliorate issues arising from linguistic diversity, improve contextual understanding, and facilitate fairness in communication. Through the lens of sociolinguistic frameworks and their application to the design of AI systems, some insights have been provided on how to better tailor AI systems to accommodate human sociolinguistic differences. These are the comprehensive responses to the key questions.

Question 01: How can AI systems overcome sociolinguistic differences in human communication?

AI systems should enhance themselves with capabilities that would enable them to try and include all relevant variation of language along with the necessary insight and cultural context that underlies conversations. First, they must use data sets representing the variety of linguistic varieties including dialects, sociolects, and non-standard language forms as training material for the systems. For instance, whereas Google Translate, among others, usually tends to use a standard form of the language, it might do a better job in the respect of sociolinguistic diversity if regional dialects and colloquial expressions were included in its training data (Bender et al., 2021). Second, AI can use learning algorithms that recognize user-specific patterns in real-time and modify communication accordingly. Interactional sociolinguistics, Gumperz (1982) posits, could guide the design of AI systems that capture contextual cues such as tone, prosody, and non-verbal communication signals. This is especially relevant for voice assistants and chatbots, where misinterpreting contextual meaning can frustrate users. For example, enabling AI to handle code-switching—where speakers alternate between languages or dialects—would make these systems more effective in multilingual contexts.

Lastly, integrating sociolinguistic principles into the design of conversational models can help AI systems manage pragmatic features like politeness strategies, indirect speech acts, and sarcasm. These adjustments would make AI-mediated communication more natural, fostering user trust and satisfaction.

Question 02: Which sociolinguistic system can improve the ability of NLP models to interpret different linguistic behaviors?

There are various sociolinguistic frameworks that can help NLP models better comprehend the diverse linguistic behavior. Variationist Sociolinguistics, started by Labov (2006), provides the basis for exploring how language use varies as a function of social factors such as region, class, or age. Modeling these principles in NLP systems will bring an NLP model to recognize and analyze linguistic variations such as phonological differences in accents or syntactic variations within different regional speech acts.

Proposed by Gumperz (1982), Interactional Sociolinguistics provides a scope for studying inferences made in conversations and the contextual cues by which meanings are made. This framework could help NLP models in terms of understanding context-dependent language use-for example, meaning on the basis of intonation or pauses or some cultural idioms that convey implicit meanings. A bot with these principles could differentiate whether the tone of the user, say an urgent one, is sarcastic or not, which would allow it to make more conversationally appropriate responses.

There is also a deduction from Pragmatic Theory, particularly Grice's (1975) Cooperative Principle, which states the extent to which a speaker can convey meaning beyond the literal process of interpreting words. Those NLP models that weave pragmatic principles into their design easily understand speech acts like requests, commands, or indirect suggestions. This is especially significant for virtual assistants, which, at times, find it challenging to work on non-literal language use.

Collectively, these frameworks provide a solid basis upon which NLP models can enhance their ability to handle language behavior and, in turn, ensure that AI systems are more inclusive and adjusted to context.

Question 3: How can improved effort to eliminate bias in the data help better the interaction of AI with marginalized language communities?

A fairer interaction with the under-represented language minorities can be assured with rectification of bias in Artificial Intelligence datasets. Most of the continuous training algorithms of AI systems work on datasets containing insufficient representation of the languages of the minorities, since a lion's portion of training for the dialects involved is typically found in the vicinity of mainstream languages. By revealing how these biases further inequalities exclude alternative dialects, regional languages, and indigenous speech patterns from the capabilities of AI, Bender et al. (2021) explain some flaws concerning these. Approaching this issue means working toward the formation and curation of linguistically diverse datasets that are inclusive of such languages and sociolects in order to facilitate the widest possible outreach for AI systems.

Furthermore, ethical considerations would mitigate the chances of stereotyping certain categories in data collection. Providing data of training may further control the discriminatory outputs of AI-computed products, and recommends gender-neutral language and positive portrayals of marginalized groups (Binns et al., 2018). Such practices improve the inclusiveness of AI systems, helping them to instill trust in users coming from diverse backgrounds. Additionally, the inclusion of communities in data collection and coding may go a long way in terms of minimization of biases. With participatory approaches, certain measures could be taken to ensure the datasets are aligned with the language and cultural situations of underrepresented groups. This promotes the possibility for AI to quite accurately interpret social and linguistic behaviors which would make it much more attuned as a responsive tool while retaining cultural sensitivity.

Results

This theoretical investigation finds evidence for invaluable sociolinguistic principles that can aid in more effective, inclusive, and ethical AI systems for human-machine communications. Firstly, the analysis shows that AI systems have significant issues in handling sociolinguistic diversity. The majority of NLP models and conversational AI technologies today fail to interpret regional dialects, sociolects, and other nonstandard varieties of language, limiting their accessibility and resulting user satisfaction. By introducing variationist sociolinguistics into AI, as proposed by Labov, the aim will move an AI system toward the appreciation of linguistic differences and thus enhance personalized interactions that are sensitive to contexts.

Secondly, with reference to interactional sociolinguistics and pragmatic theories-powerful tools for designing AI systems that could uncover conversational secrets. AI uses such mechanisms to decipher contextual cues and refer to indirect speech acts and culturally relevant communication aspects. For instance, those capabilities would allow virtual assistants to better contain

multilingual and multicultural interactive contexts by minimizing the risk of miscommunication, which in due course augments user trust.

Moreover, the present study stresses the need for rectifying biases pertaining to AI training data. Cases exist where biased datasets contribute to the exclusion of minority languages from communication and the reinforcement of negative stereotypes. Inclusion of more diverse linguistic datasets and participatory activities may tackle these biases; it could pave the way for better engagement with visibility-poor communities and promote fairness and inclusiveness. Some would suggest that important ethical ideals that need to be embraced in the process of securing equity remain centered on transparent data curation and designing blended with accountability.

Conclusions

Finally, this study concludes that sociolinguistics provides a solid theoretical basis to surmount the biases of AI systems regarding human-machine communication. Theories from variationist and interactional socio-linguistics and pragmatics can serve as a template to inform the future adaptability of human-like interactive AI systems in regard to language and culture, an important aspect for varied linguistic and social realities around the world.

Moreover, confronting bias in AI datasets is vital to creating conduits for inclusiveness and fairness. Incorporating diverse linguistic forms, engaging marginalized communities in data annotation, and ethical design can vastly improve AI systems in terms of accessibility and equity. Highlighted in the study is the possibility of AI closing the linguistic and cultural gaps if sociolinguistic insights could be integrated into its development successfully.

Thus, the interplay of the multiple areas of sociolinguistics and AI presents new avenues for improved communication between humans and machines. Still, theoretical work for such projects will require extensive fieldwork and inter-disciplinary collaboration before it translates into practice. If AI can be made to be inclusive, contextual, and ethically designed, then the developing human-centered AI systems would enable even more possibilities for improved interaction between humans and machines and mutual trust.

References

1. Bender, E., McMillan-Major, A., Shmitchell, S. and Gebru, T. (2021). On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big? FAccT ’21: Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, [online] pp.610–623. https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3442188.3445922
2. Brown, P. and Levinson, S.C. (2011). Politeness. Some Universals in Language Usage | RequestPDF.[online]ResearchGate.Availableat:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263141969_Politeness_Some_Universals_in_L anguage_Usage.
3. Grice, H.P. (1975). Logic and Conversation. [online] New York: Academic Press. Available at: https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ls/studypacks/Grice-Logic.pdf.
4. Gumperz, J.J. (1982). Discourse strategies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://gescsemiotica.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Discourse-strategies.pdf
5. Labov, W. (2006). The social stratification of English in New York City. Cambridge: Cambridge
6. University Press. https://web.stanford.edu/class/linguist62n/labov001.pdf

7. Kelly-Holmes, H. (2019). Multilingualism and Technology: A Review of Developments in Digital Communication from Monolingualism to Idiolingualism. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 39, 24–39. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0267190519000102
8. Hohenstein, J., Kizilcec, R. F., DiFranzo, D., Aghajari, Z., Mieczkowski, H., Levy, K., Naaman, M., Hancock, J., & Jung, M. F. (2023). Artificial intelligence in communication impacts language and social relationships. Scientific Reports, 13(1), 5487.https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-30938-9
9. Yang, S., Zhao, H., & Luo, W. (2024). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Intercultural Communication. IntechOpen EBooks. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1006172
10. Georgiou, G. P. (2024, July 4). Differentiating between human-written and AI-generated texts using linguistic features automatically extracted from an online computational tool. ResearchGate. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv:2407.03646
11. O'Grady, S. (2023). An AI Generated Test of Pragmatic Competence and Connected Speech. Language Teaching Research Quarterly, 37, 188–203. https://doi.org/10.32038/ltrq.2023.37.10
12. Nazeer, I., Nida Mushtaq Khan, Nawaz, A., & Rehman, J. (2024). An Experimental Analysis of Pragmatic Competence in Human-ChatGPT Conversations. Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2024.v12i1.2061
13. Nicolo' Brandizzi. (2023). Towards More Human-like AI Communication: A Review of Emergent Communication Research. IEEE Access, 11, 142317–142340. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3339656
14. Umbrello, S., & Natale, S. (2022, July 8). Reframing Deception for Human-Centered AI. ResearchGate. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30364.16003
15. Sun, L., & Asmawi, A. (2024, May 21). Revolutionizing Language Education: Multimodal Discourse Analysis and AI in the Digital 21st Century. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380731379_Revolutionizing_Language_Education_Multimodal_Discourse_Analysis_and_AI_in_the_Digital_21st_Century
16. Binns, R., Van Kleek, M., Veale, M., Lyngs, U., Zhao, J., & Shadbolt, N. (2018). "It's Reducing a Human Being to a Percentage." Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - CHI '18. https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173951