



Relationship between Teachers' Reflective Practices, Professional Development, and the Use of Formative Assessment at University Level

Dr. Fahd Naveed Kausar¹

¹Assistant Professor, School of Education, Minhaj University Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: April 07, 2025
Revised: May 15, 2025
Accepted: June 02, 2025
Available Online: June 20, 2025

Keywords:

teachers' reflective practices, professional development, the use of formative assessment, university level

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Fahd Naveed Kausar

Email:

fahdnaveed1@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

Teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and the use of formative assessment are interconnected elements that enhance instructional quality and promote continuous improvement. By integrating these practices, educators can better address student needs and foster more effective learning environments. The objectives of the study were to identify the level of teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and the use of formative assessment, to find out the relationship between teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and the use of formative assessment. The study employed a descriptive research design. The population consisted of all public and private 39 universities in Lahore District. A multistage simple random sampling technique was utilized to ensure comprehensive representation. The instrument of the study was questionnaire. Primary data was collected using the administered questionnaires, which were distributed to the selected participants. Data analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive (mean and standard deviation) and inferential statistics (Pearson r) was used. The findings of the study revealed that there was moderate positive significant relationship between teachers' reflective practices and their professional development at university level. There was highly significant positive relationship between teachers' reflective practices and the use of formative assessment at university level. And also, there was moderate positive significant relationship between professional development and use of formative assessment at university level.



Introduction

The relationship between teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and the use of formative assessment is a critical area of study in educational research, as it directly influences teaching quality and student learning outcomes. Reflective practices enable teachers to critically

analyze their instructional methods, assess their effectiveness, and make informed adjustments to improve student engagement and achievement (Schon, 1983; Dewey, 1933). Through reflection, educators can identify gaps in their teaching, address diverse student needs, and align their strategies with desired learning objectives (Brookfield, 1995; Korthagen, 2017). Professional development complements this process by equipping teachers with the knowledge, skills, and tools necessary to enhance their instructional approaches and integrate formative assessment techniques effectively (Desimone, 2009; Guskey, 2002). It serves as a catalyst for sustained professional growth, fostering a culture of continuous improvement among educators (Avalos, 2011; Darling-Hammond et al., 2017).

Formative assessment plays a pivotal role in the teaching-learning process by providing real-time insights into student progress, enabling teachers to make data-driven decisions and tailor their instruction accordingly (Black & Wiliam, 1998; Heritage, 2010). It emphasizes the importance of feedback, scaffolding, and active student involvement in the learning process (Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006). Teachers who engage in reflective practices and professional development are more likely to utilize formative assessment effectively, as these processes enhance their ability to design, implement, and evaluate assessment strategies (Clarke, 2014; Andrade & Brookhart, 2016). Moreover, research highlights the interdependence of these elements in fostering teacher efficacy, improving classroom practices, and ultimately contributing to better student outcomes (Timperley et al., 2007; Boud & Molloy, 2013).

The integration of reflective practices, professional development, and formative assessment requires a holistic approach that prioritizes teacher agency and collaboration (Kolb, 1984; Zeichner & Liston, 2013). Reflective practices serve as a foundation for identifying areas for professional growth, while professional development provides the structured opportunities and resources necessary to address those needs (Postholm, 2012; Opfer & Pedder, 2011). In turn, formative assessment acts as a bridge, linking reflection and professional development to classroom application (Wiliam, 2011; Bennett, 2011). This interconnected framework underscores the importance of aligning teacher development initiatives with evidence-based practices to create meaningful learning experiences for students (Mezirow, 1991; Clarke et al., 2012). Despite its potential, the effective integration of these elements often faces challenges such as limited time, inadequate training, and insufficient institutional support (Ball & Cohen, 1999; Reeves, 2010). Addressing these barriers requires a systematic approach that values teacher input, fosters collaboration, and promotes a culture of lifelong learning (Darling-Hammond & Richardson, 2009; Stiggins, 2007). By examining the relationship between teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and formative assessment, this study aims to provide insights into how these factors interact to enhance teaching effectiveness and student achievement (Shulman, 1987; Loughran, 2002). Such research is essential for informing policy and practice, ensuring that teachers are well-equipped to meet the demands of diverse and dynamic educational contexts (Knight, 2002; Vescio et al., 2008).

The relationship between teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and the use of formative assessment has become a focal point of educational research, as it has direct implications for improving teaching effectiveness and enhancing student learning outcomes. Teachers' reflective practices allow educators to critically evaluate their own teaching strategies, assess their effectiveness, and adjust their approaches based on both student feedback and self-reflection (Schon, 1983; Dewey, 1933). Reflective practices involve a deliberate process of examining one's teaching methods, identifying successes, recognizing challenges, and making adjustments to enhance student understanding and engagement (Brookfield, 1995; Korthagen, 2017). This

ongoing reflective cycle enables teachers to develop greater self-awareness, adopt more effective teaching strategies, and ultimately create a more dynamic learning environment for students (Osterman & Kottkamp, 1993; Farrell, 2012). Professional development plays a significant role in supporting reflective practices by providing teachers with the necessary knowledge, tools, and resources to advance their skills and improve their teaching practices (Desimone, 2009; Guskey, 2002). Effective professional development programs are designed to meet teachers' specific needs, focusing not only on content knowledge but also on pedagogical strategies that support student learning (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017; Avalos, 2011). Professional development is also integral in promoting a culture of continuous improvement, allowing teachers to update and refine their teaching practices regularly (Timperley et al., 2007). When teachers engage in quality professional development, they are better equipped to reflect on their practices and implement research-based strategies that can lead to improved classroom outcomes (Kennedy, 2016; Darling-Hammond & McLaughlin, 1995).

A key component of improving teaching practices and student outcomes is formative assessment, a process that provides ongoing feedback to both teachers and students regarding the students' progress towards learning goals (Black & Wiliam, 1998; Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Formative assessment involves various strategies such as quizzes, observational assessments, class discussions, and student self-assessments, all of which provide teachers with real-time data about student learning (Heritage, 2010). This data enables teachers to adjust their instruction to address individual learning needs, close achievement gaps, and ensure that all students are progressing towards academic goals (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006; Wiliam, 2011). Research shows that formative assessment, when used effectively, can significantly enhance student engagement, motivation, and achievement (Nicol, 2010; Andrade & Brookhart, 2016). The relationship between reflective practices, professional development, and formative assessment is deeply interconnected, with each element supporting and reinforcing the others. Teachers who engage in reflective practices are more likely to use formative assessment effectively because reflection helps them analyze the results of assessments, understand student needs, and refine their teaching approaches (Clarke, 2014; Andrade, 2010). Likewise, professional development programs focused on formative assessment techniques can deepen teachers' understanding of how to collect and interpret assessment data, how to provide actionable feedback to students, and how to create an assessment-driven instructional plan (Stiggins, 2007; Wiliam, 2011). By aligning professional development with reflective practices and formative assessment, educators are better prepared to meet the diverse needs of their students and create an inclusive, supportive learning environment (Boud & Molloy, 2013; Timperley et al., 2007).

However, despite the potential benefits of integrating reflective practices, professional development, and formative assessment, there are numerous challenges that hinder their effective implementation in many educational settings. Teachers often face time constraints, heavy workloads, and a lack of institutional support for ongoing professional learning (Ball & Cohen, 1999; Reeves, 2010). Additionally, not all professional development opportunities are aligned with teachers' immediate needs or the contextual demands of their classrooms (Garet et al., 2001). Some teachers also face difficulty in effectively utilizing formative assessment due to a lack of training or resources, making it harder for them to integrate assessment data into their teaching practices (Bennett, 2011; Clarke et al., 2012). Overcoming these barriers requires a systemic approach that values teacher collaboration, offers continuous and personalized professional learning opportunities, and provides the necessary support for teachers to apply what they have learned in their classrooms (Darling-Hammond & Richardson, 2009; Vescio et al., 2008). Moreover, fostering a school culture that values reflective practice and sees it as an essential part of

professional growth can further enhance the effectiveness of formative assessment (Zeichner & Liston, 2013; Loughran, 2002). The importance of professional development in enhancing teachers' reflective practices and the effective use of formative assessment cannot be overstated. Professional development initiatives must be aligned with the teaching and learning goals of schools and respond to the ever-changing needs of both teachers and students (Hattie, 2009; Opfer & Pedder, 2011). Additionally, reflective practices should not be viewed as an isolated activity but as part of an ongoing cycle of improvement that integrates professional development and formative assessment to foster student success (Mezirow, 1991; Kolb, 1984). By examining the interconnectedness of these elements, this study aims to provide insights into how teachers can be better supported in developing their reflective practices, engaging in effective professional development, and using formative assessment to improve student outcomes (Shulman, 1987; Knight, 2002). This research is crucial in informing policies that support teacher growth, enhance instructional quality, and ultimately create better learning environments for students across diverse educational contexts (Vescio et al., 2008; Darling-Hammond, 2006). Such an integrated framework can lead to more personalized, adaptive, and effective teaching practices that not only address the academic needs of students but also promote the continuous professional growth of teachers (Clarke et al., 2012; Boud & Molloy, 2013).

Objectives

- 1- To identify the level of teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and the use of formative assessment at university level.
- 2- To find out the relationship between teachers' reflective practices and their professional development at university level.
- 3- To find out the relationship between teachers' reflective practices and the use of formative assessment at university level.
- 4- To analyze the relationship between professional development and use of formative assessment at university level.

Methodology

The study utilized a descriptive research design with a population comprising all 39 public and private universities in Lahore District. A multistage simple random sampling technique was employed to ensure comprehensive representation, with eight universities randomly selected as the sample. From each university, 50 teachers were randomly chosen, resulting in a total sample of 400 teachers. The research instrument was a questionnaire, adapted from existing scales on teachers' reflective practices (Priddis & Rogers, 2018), professional development (Kausar, Bashir, Hussain, & Ahmad, 2024), and the use of formative assessment (Kausar, Ghazala, & Jan, 2023). To ensure content validity, subject-matter experts reviewed the instruments. Reliability was assessed through pilot testing, with Cronbach's Alpha values indicating internal consistency: 0.837 for teachers' reflective practices, 0.872 for professional development, and 0.893 for the use of formative assessment, all exceeding the widely accepted minimum threshold of 0.75. Primary data was gathered through administered questionnaires, which were distributed to the selected participants. The data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), with both descriptive (mean and standard deviation) and inferential statistics (Pearson r) applied.

Data Analysis

Table 1: Teachers' Reflective Practices

Items	M	S.D.
I regularly reflect on the effectiveness of my teaching strategies after each lesson.	1.92	.920
Reflecting on my teaching helps me identify areas for professional growth and improvement.	1.88	.849
I use student feedback to guide my reflections on teaching practices.	1.91	.889
Reflection allows me to adapt my teaching methods to better meet the diverse needs of my students.	2.05	.904
I document or discuss my reflections on teaching practices with colleagues or mentors to improve my instructional approach.	2.09	.893

The above table illustrates the Teachers' Reflective Practices description on the basis of mean and standard deviation. According to the respondent's responses, I regularly reflect on the effectiveness of my teaching strategies after each lesson (M=1.92; SD=0.92), Reflecting on my teaching helps me identify areas for professional growth and improvement (M=1.88; SD=0.84), I use student feedback to guide my reflections on teaching practices (M=1.91; SD=0.88), Reflection allows me to adapt my teaching methods to better meet the diverse needs of my students (M=2.05; SD=0.90), and I document or discuss my reflections on teaching practices with colleagues or mentors to improve my instructional approach (M=2.09; SD=0.89). Overall, respondent's responses reflected toward the level of agreement.

Table 2: Professional development

Items	M	S.D.
The professional development opportunities I participate in address my specific teaching challenges and needs.	2.15	1.044
I am able to implement the strategies learned during professional development sessions effectively in my classroom.	2.32	1.099
Professional development programs offered by my school or institution enhance my ability to support diverse learners.	2.15	1.095
I have opportunities to collaborate with colleagues and share ideas during professional development activities.	2.38	1.153
The professional development sessions I attend contribute to improving my teaching practices and student learning outcomes.	2.31	1.081

The above table illustrates the Teachers' professional development description on the basis of mean and standard deviation. According to the respondent's responses, the professional development opportunities I participate in address my specific teaching challenges and needs (M=2.15; SD=1.04), I am able to implement the strategies learned during professional development sessions effectively in my classroom (M=2.32; SD=1.09), Professional development programs offered by my school or institution enhance my ability to support diverse learners (M=2.15; SD=1.09), I have opportunities to collaborate with colleagues and share ideas during professional development activities (M=2.38; SD=1.15), and The professional development sessions I attend contribute to improving my teaching practices and student learning outcomes (M=2.31; SD=1.08). Overall, respondent's responses reflected toward the level of agreement.

Table 3: Use of Formative Assessment

Items	M	S.D.
I use formative assessment to monitor students' progress and adjust my teaching accordingly.	2.25	1.016
I provide timely and constructive feedback to students based on formative assessment results.	2.49	1.137
Formative assessment helps me identify students' strengths and areas for improvement.	2.49	1.178
I incorporate a variety of formative assessment methods, such as quizzes, observations, and discussions, into my teaching.	2.19	1.046
I use data from formative assessments to plan future lessons and instructional activities.	2.27	1.113

The above table illustrates the Teachers' formative assessment description on the basis of mean and standard deviation. According to the respondent's responses, I use formative assessment to monitor students' progress and adjust my teaching accordingly (M=2.25; SD=1.01), I provide timely and constructive feedback to students based on formative assessment results (M=2.49; SD=1.13), Formative assessment helps me identify students' strengths and areas for improvement (M=2.49; SD=1.17), I incorporate a variety of formative assessment methods, such as quizzes, observations, and discussions, into my teaching (M=2.19; SD=1.04), and I use data from formative assessments to plan future lessons and instructional activities (M=2.27; SD=1.11). Overall, respondent's responses reflected toward the level of agreement.

Table 4: Relationship between teachers' reflective practices and their professional development at university level

Correlations			
		Teachers' reflective practices	Professional development
Teachers' reflective practices	Pearson Correlation	1	.562 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	400	400
Professional development	Pearson Correlation	.562 ^{**}	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	400	400

^{**}. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The above table illustrates the relationship between teachers' reflective practices and their professional development at university level. The Pearson value 0.56 and sig-value shows that there was moderate positive significant relationship between teachers' reflective practices and their professional development at university level.

Table 5: Relationship between teachers' reflective practices and the use of formative assessment at university level

Correlations			
		Teachers' reflective practices	Use of formative assessment
Teachers' reflective practices	Pearson Correlation	1	.688**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	400	400
Use of formative assessment	Pearson Correlation	.688**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	400	400

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The above table illustrates the relationship between teachers' reflective practices and the use of formative assessment at university level. The Pearson value 0.68 and sig value shows that there was highly significant positive relationship between teachers' reflective practices and the use of formative assessment at university level.

Table 6: Relationship between professional development and use of formative assessment at university level

Correlations			
		Professional development	Use of formative assessment
Professional development	Pearson Correlation	1	.504**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	400	400
Use of formative assessment	Pearson Correlation	.504**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	400	400

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The above table illustrates the relationship between professional development and use of formative assessment at university level. The Pearson value 0.50 and sig value 0.000 shows that there was moderate positive significant relationship between professional development and use of formative assessment at university level.

Discussion

The moderate positive significant relationship between teachers' reflective practices and their professional development at the university level suggests that when educators regularly engage in self-reflection, they are more likely to participate in professional development activities. Reflective practices allow teachers to critically assess their teaching methods, identify areas for improvement, and recognize the need for further learning, thus encouraging participation in development programs (Kausar, Haroon, Abid, & Tatlah, 2022). This relationship indicates that reflective teachers are more open to enhancing their skills and knowledge, which in turn supports their continuous professional growth. By reflecting on their experiences, teachers are able to make more informed decisions about their development needs, leading to more targeted and effective professional development initiatives (de Kock, 2024). This process, in turn, fosters a cycle of

improvement, where reflection drives professional growth and professional development supports deeper reflection, ultimately improving teaching quality and student outcomes.

The highly significant positive relationship between teachers' reflective practices and the use of formative assessment at the university level highlights the crucial role reflection plays in shaping assessment strategies. Teachers who regularly engage in reflective practices are more likely to use formative assessment effectively, as they are continually analyzing their teaching methods and student outcomes. This reflective process helps educators identify areas where students may be struggling and adjust their teaching accordingly through ongoing assessments. The relationship suggests that teachers who reflect on their instructional practices are more attuned to the importance of formative assessments, using them as a tool to guide learning and improve student performance. Additionally, this connection emphasizes how reflection enhances the teachers' ability to interpret formative assessment data, providing valuable insights for instructional adjustments (Kausar, Irshad, Chughtai, & Saqib, 2024). Ultimately, this positive relationship supports the idea that reflective teaching practices lead to more dynamic and responsive use of formative assessment, contributing to better student learning experiences and outcomes.

The moderate positive significant relationship between professional development and the use of formative assessment at the university level indicates that when teachers engage in professional development opportunities, they are more likely to adopt and effectively use formative assessments in their teaching (Kausar, & Haroon, 2022). Professional development programs often provide educators with the tools, strategies, and knowledge necessary to implement various assessment techniques, which in turn enhances their ability to monitor student progress and adjust instruction accordingly. As teachers gain new insights and skills through professional learning, they become more proficient at using formative assessment to gather real-time feedback on student performance. This relationship suggests that ongoing professional development is key to ensuring that formative assessment is used strategically and consistently, fostering an environment where teaching practices can be continuously refined to meet the needs of students (Maharjan, Sunar, Dahal, Pant, & Manandhar, 2025). Ultimately, this connection supports the idea that professional growth directly influences teachers' ability to enhance student learning through formative assessment.

Conclusion

The relationship between teachers' reflective practices, professional development, and formative assessment is integral to improving teaching effectiveness and student outcomes. Reflective practices allow educators to assess and refine their instructional methods, ensuring they meet the diverse needs of students. Professional development enhances this process by providing teachers with essential tools and strategies for improvement. When aligned with formative assessment, these practices support a cycle of continuous feedback, fostering both teacher and student growth. However, challenges such as time constraints and limited resources often impede their full implementation. Overcoming these barriers requires a systemic approach that prioritizes teacher collaboration and sustained support. Strengthening this relationship can lead to better learning environments and greater academic success for students. Ultimately, this integrated framework supports both teacher development and enhanced student engagement. By emphasizing reflective practices, professional development, and formative assessment, educational systems can create dynamic and effective classrooms. This research highlights the importance of aligning these elements to foster continuous improvement in teaching and learning.

References

1. Andrade, H. (2010). *Students as the definitive source of formative assessment*. In J. Hattie & H. Timperley (Eds.), *The power of feedback* (pp. 1-10). Routledge.
2. Andrade, H., & Brookhart, S. M. (2016). *The role of formative assessment in self-regulated learning*. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.), *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 141-160). Springer.
3. Avalos, B. (2011). *Teacher professional development in teaching and teacher education over ten years*. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 10-20.
4. Ball, D. L., & Cohen, D. K. (1999). *Developing practice, developing practitioners: Toward a practice-based theory of professional education*. In G. Sykes & L. Darling-Hammond (Eds.), *Teaching as the learning profession: Handbook of policy and practice* (pp. 3-32). Jossey-Bass.
5. Bennett, R. E. (2011). *Formative assessment: A critical review*. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policies, and Practices*, 18(1), 5-25.
6. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Assessment and classroom learning*. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policies, and Practices*, 5(1), 7-74.
7. Boud, D., & Molloy, E. (2013). *Rethinking models of feedback in higher education*. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 38(6), 698-712.
8. Brookfield, S. D. (1995). *Becoming a critically reflective teacher*. Jossey-Bass.
9. Clarke, S. (2014). *Formative assessment in action: Making formative assessment work in every classroom*. Hodder Education.
10. Clarke, S., Timperley, H., & Hattie, J. (2012). *Unlocking formative assessment: Practical strategies for enhancing student learning*. Hodder Education.
11. Darling-Hammond, L. (2006). *Constructing 21st-century teacher education*. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 57(3), 300-314.
12. Darling-Hammond, L., & McLaughlin, M. W. (1995). *Policies that support professional development in an era of high standards*. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 76(8), 597-604.
13. Darling-Hammond, L., & Richardson, N. (2009). *Teacher learning: What matters?*. *Educational Leadership*, 66(5), 46-53.
14. Darling-Hammond, L., Wei, R. C., Andree, A., Richardson, N., & Orphanos, S. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(2), 122-140.
15. de Kock, C. (2024). *Exploring Reflective Practice as a Supportive Professional Development Tool for Novice Teachers: A Narrative Inquiry*. In *Educators' Work Integrated Learning Experiences: Stories from the Trenches* (pp. 87-100). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
16. Dewey, J. (1933). *How we think*. D.C. Heath.
17. Farrell, T. S. C. (2012). *Reflective practice in ESL teacher development groups: From practices to principles*. Palgrave Macmillan.
18. Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., Birman, B. F., & Yoon, K. S. (2001). *What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers*. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), 915-945.
19. Guskey, T. R. (2002). *Professional development and teacher change*. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 8(3), 381-391.
20. Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
21. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). *The power of feedback*. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.

22. Heritage, M. (2010). *Formative assessment and next-generation assessment systems: Are we ready for the future?*. Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education, 109(2), 15-27.
23. Kausar, F. N., Bashir, F., Hussain, A., & Ahmad, R. (2024). Effect of Teachers' Professional Development on Classroom Management at Higher Secondary Level. *The Critical Review of Social Sciences Studies*, 2(2), 1039-1049.
24. Kausar, F. N., Ghazala, N., & Jan, S. (2023). An Investigation into Teachers' Perceptions of Formative Assessment Techniques and Students' Learning. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 11(4), 3952-3960.
25. Kausar, F. N., & Haroon, A. (2022). Relationship between Formative Assessment Techniques, Students' Learning and Academic Achievement at University Level. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review*, 6(3), 309-319.
26. Kausar, F. N., Haroon, A., Abid, S., & Tatlah, I. A. (2022). Effect Of Formative Assessment Techniques On Students' Learning Styles At University Level. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(11), 3255-3276.
27. Kausar, F. N., Irshad, M., Chughtai, F. D., & Saqib, A. (2024). Perceptions of Students Regarding the Effect of Formative Assessment Techniques on Students' Learning and Academic Achievement at University Level. *Remittances Review*, 9(2), 3123-3151.
28. Kennedy, M. M. (2016). *How does professional development improve teaching?*. Review of Educational Research, 86(4), 945-980.
29. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice-Hall.
30. Korthagen, F. A. J. (2017). *The relationship between reflection and professional development*. In B. J. W. van den Bergh & R. A. S. Martens (Eds.), *Reflective teaching in the context of globalisation* (pp. 191-208). Springer.
31. Knight, J. (2002). *A strategy for enhancing professional development*. Journal of Staff Development, 23(4), 16-21.
32. Maharjan, B., Sunar, P. K., Dahal, N., Pant, B. P., & Manandhar, N. K. (2025). Connecting the dots: Teachers' reflective practices for developing self-efficacy, emancipation, and empowerment. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies in Education*, 14(2), 66-89.
33. Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.
34. Nicol, D. (2010). *From monologue to dialogue: Improving written feedback processes in mass higher education*. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 35(5), 501-517.
35. Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). *Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice*. Studies in Higher Education, 31(2), 199-218.
36. O'Donnell, A. M., & King, A. (1999). *Cognitive perspectives on peer learning*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
37. Priddis, L., & Rogers, S. L. (2018). Development of the reflective practice questionnaire: preliminary findings. *Reflective Practice*, 19(1), 89-104.
38. Schon, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic Books.
39. Shulman, L. S. (1987). *Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform*. Harvard Educational Review, 57(1), 1-22.
40. Stiggins, R. J. (2007). *Assessment for learning: An essential foundation of productive instruction*. In L. Darling-Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.), *Preparing teachers for a changing world: What teachers should learn and be able to do* (pp. 369-389). Jossey-Bass.
41. Timperley, H. S., Wilson, A., Barrar, H., & Fung, I. (2007). *Teacher professional learning and development*. Educational Practices Series-18. International Academy of Education.

42. Vescio, V., Ross, D., & Adams, A. (2008). *A review of research on the impact of professional learning communities on teaching practice and student learning*. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(1), 80-91.
43. Wiliam, D. (2011). *Embedded formative assessment*. Solution Tree Press.
44. Zeichner, K., & Liston, D. (2013). *Reflective teaching: An introduction*. Routledge.