



Indus Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences

<https://induspublishers.com/IJAPS>
Volume 1, Issue 2 (2023)



Chemical Control Strategies for Fruit Fly (*Drosophila* spp.) Management in Citrus Orchards

Dr. Nasir Mahmood¹, Prof. Shazia Yasmin², Dr. Vijay Kumar³

¹Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

²Department of Wildlife and Ecology, University of Peshawar, Peshawar, Pakistan

³Department of Plant Pathology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

ARTICLE INFO

Key Words:

- * Fruifly
- * Malathion
- * Diptrex
- * Dimethoate
- * Diclorvos
- * Dutiful

ABSTRACT

Fruit flies (*Drosophila* spp.) pose a significant threat to citrus orchards worldwide, leading to substantial economic losses through infestation and subsequent fruit damage. This research investigates the efficacy of chemical control strategies employing diptrex, dutiful, malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate, representing a diverse array of chemical insecticides, for the management of fruit flies in citrus orchards. The study encompasses a randomized block design, with each chemical insecticide assigned to distinct blocks within the citrus orchard. Treatments are applied during critical developmental stages of the fruit fly life cycle, considering factors such as adult emergence, egg laying, and larval infestation. Monitoring protocols involve regular assessments of fruit fly populations, fruit damage levels, and residual effects of the chemical treatments. Preliminary findings suggest varying degrees of efficacy among the chemical insecticides, with diptrex and dutiful exhibiting promising results in suppressing fruit fly populations and mitigating fruit damage. Malathion, a widely used organophosphate insecticide, demonstrates moderate effectiveness, while dichlorvos and dimethoate show varying impacts on fruit fly management. This research contributes crucial insights into the effectiveness of chemical control strategies, shedding light on the potential of diptrex and dutiful as alternatives in integrated pest management programs. The outcomes aim to inform citrus growers, policymakers, and researchers on the optimal utilization of chemical insecticides for sustainable fruit fly management in citrus orchards. The implications of these findings extend beyond immediate pest control, addressing broader concerns of orchard health, environmental impact, and the sustainability of citrus production systems.

Introduction

Citrus orchards, vital contributors to global agricultural economies, face persistent threats from fruit flies (*Drosophila* spp.), whose infestations lead to significant yield losses and compromised fruit quality^{1,2}. The economic impact of fruit fly damage extends beyond direct losses to include expenses associated with pest control measures, further underscoring the urgency of effective management strategies^{3,4,5}. Among the myriad approaches, chemical control remains a cornerstone, providing rapid and targeted intervention⁶. This research delves into the intricate landscape of chemical control strategies, focusing on the effectiveness of diptrex, dutiful, malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate in managing fruit fly populations in citrus orchards.

Citrus fruits, encompassing oranges, lemons, grapefruits, and limes, constitute a cornerstone of global agriculture, contributing substantially to both domestic and international markets. The economic significance of citrus cultivation is paralleled by its nutritional value and consumer demand, making the sustained productivity of citrus orchards paramount for agricultural sustainability. Fruit flies, belonging to the *Drosophila* genus, have emerged as formidable adversaries in the cultivation of citrus fruits⁷. These pests exhibit a remarkable ability to infest fruits during various stages of development, laying eggs within the fruit flesh⁸. The subsequent larvae feed on the pulp, rendering the fruit unsuitable for market, leading to economic losses and increased production costs associated with pest management.

Chemical control strategies have long been instrumental in addressing fruit fly infestations due to their quick action and targeted effects^{9,10}. The arsenal of chemical insecticides available for fruit fly management includes diptrex, dutiful, malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate, each with unique chemical properties and modes of action. The selection of an appropriate chemical depends on factors such as pest species, orchard characteristics, and environmental considerations¹¹. While chemical control remains a widely adopted approach, the efficacy of specific insecticides in the context of fruit fly management in citrus orchards is not universally established^{12,13}. Variability in pest populations, environmental factors, and insecticide resistance necessitate ongoing research to refine and optimize chemical control strategies. This study addresses this research gap by comprehensively evaluating the effectiveness of diptrex, dutiful, malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate in citrus orchards, aiming to provide actionable insights for citrus growers and stakeholders.

The primary objective of this research is to systematically assess and compare the efficacy of

diprex, dutiful, malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate in managing fruit fly populations in citrus orchards. Specific objectives include:

- Quantifying the impact of each chemical treatment on fruit fly populations at different developmental stages.
- Assessing the extent of fruit damage in response to chemical control measures.
- Investigating the residual effects and persistence of each chemical insecticide in the orchard environment.

Materials and Methods

1. Experimental Site:

The study was conducted in a citrus orchard located at AZRC DI Khan. The orchard, characterized by clay loam soil, arid climate and standard orchard management practices, provided an ideal setting for assessing chemical control strategies against fruit flies (*Drosophila* spp.).

2. Selection of Chemical Insecticides:

Five chemical insecticides were chosen for evaluation: diptrex, dutiful, malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate. These insecticides represent a diverse range of chemical classes and modes of action commonly used in fruit fly management. Their selection aimed to provide a comprehensive assessment of chemical control strategies.

3. Experimental Design:

The study adopted a randomized block design, with each chemical insecticide assigned to specific blocks within the citrus orchard. The randomization aimed to minimize potential spatial biases and ensure a representative evaluation of each chemical treatment. Each block consisted of multiple trees, and the treatments were applied uniformly across all trees within a block.

4. Timing of Chemical Applications:

Chemical applications were timed to coincide with critical developmental stages of fruit flies, including adult emergence, egg laying, and larval infestation. The application schedule was determined based on established knowledge of fruit fly life cycles and orchard pest dynamics. Treatments were initiated at the onset of the fruit fly season and continued at regular intervals throughout the study period.

5. Chemical Application Methods:

Chemical insecticides were applied using standard orchard spraying equipment. Care was taken to ensure thorough coverage of the foliage and fruit surfaces. Application rates followed manufacturer recommendations and were adjusted based on orchard characteristics, tree size, and fruit density. Treatments were applied during calm weather conditions to minimize drift and optimize efficacy.

6. Monitoring Fruit Fly Populations:

Fruit fly populations were monitored regularly to assess the impact of chemical treatments. Monitoring methods included the use of traps baited with fruit fly attractants strategically placed within each block. The traps were checked at predefined intervals, and the captured fruit flies were identified and quantified. Visual inspections of fruit were also conducted to detect signs of infestation.

7. Assessment of Fruit Damage:

To quantify the efficacy of chemical treatments in preventing fruit damage, a systematic sampling approach was employed. Representative fruits were randomly selected from each tree within the treated blocks, and the extent of damage caused by fruit fly infestation was visually assessed. Damage severity was categorized based on established criteria to provide a quantitative measure of treatment effectiveness.

8. Residual Effects Analysis:

Residual effects of the chemical insecticides in the orchard environment were assessed by analyzing soil and foliage samples. Soil samples

were collected from treated and untreated areas, and foliage samples were obtained from trees within each block. Chemical residues were extracted and quantified using established analytical methods to evaluate the persistence of each insecticide.

9. Statistical Analysis:

Collected data, including fruit fly populations, fruit damage levels, and residual effects, were subjected to statistical analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's multiple comparison test were employed to identify significant differences among the treatments. The statistical analyses aimed to provide robust conclusions regarding the efficacy of each chemical insecticide in fruit fly management.

Results

1. Fruit Fly Population Dynamics:

The monitoring of fruit fly populations revealed notable variations among the chemical treatments. Diptrex and dutiful exhibited the most significant reduction in fruit fly populations, with consistently lower counts compared to malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate. Malathion showed moderate efficacy in controlling fruit flies, while dichlorvos and dimethoate exhibited varying degrees of effectiveness, with fluctuations in population suppression observed over time.

2. Assessment of Fruit Damage:

The evaluation of fruit damage levels provided insights into the efficacy of each chemical treatment in preventing infestation. Diptrex and dutiful treatments correlated with minimal fruit damage, showcasing their effectiveness in protecting citrus fruits. Malathion demonstrated moderate control, while dichlorvos and dimethoate showed less consistent results, with instances of increased fruit damage observed during specific assessment periods.

3. Residual Effects of Chemical Insecticides:

Analysis of soil and foliage samples indicated varying degrees of residual effects for each chemical treatment. Diptrex and dutiful displayed comparatively shorter persistence in the orchard

environment, aligning with their targeted and short-lived modes of action. Malathion exhibited a moderate residual effect, while dichlorvos and dimethoate demonstrated longer persistence. The

residual effects of each chemical treatment varied based on environmental factors, emphasizing the importance of considering these factors in pest management decisions.

Table 1. Effect of different chemical pesticide application on population of fruit fly, fruit damage and pesticide's residual impact

Treatment	Fruit Fly Population	Residual Time (Days)	Fruit Damage
Control	340.43	0	H
Diptrex	102.13	30	L
Dutiful	109.89	34	L
Malathion	132.27	52	L
Dichlorvos	131.52	41	L
Dimethoate	149.38	49	M

M, Medium Damage, LD, Least Damage, HD, Highest Damage

Discussion

Diptrex and dutiful emerged as promising chemical control options for fruit fly management in citrus orchards. Their consistent suppression of fruit fly populations and minimal fruit damage highlight their potential as effective tools in integrated pest management strategies. The shorter residual effects observed suggest that these treatments may require more frequent applications but provide targeted and environmentally friendly control. Malathion, a widely used organophosphate insecticide, demonstrated moderate efficacy in controlling fruit flies¹⁴. The effectiveness, coupled with its relatively longer residual effects, positions malathion as a viable option for citrus growers seeking a balance between control and reduced application frequency¹⁵. However, careful consideration is warranted due to potential environmental concerns and the need to manage resistance. Dichlorvos and dimethoate exhibited variable efficacy in fruit fly management, with fluctuations in population suppression and fruit damage levels^{16,17}. The observed variations may be attributed to factors such as insecticide resistance, environmental conditions, and the developmental stage of the fruit fly population. Further research is needed to elucidate the factors influencing the efficacy of these chemical treatments. The residual effects analysis highlighted the importance of considering environmental factors in pest management decisions. While longer persistence may offer extended protection, it raises concerns about environmental impact and the potential development of insecticide resistance. The findings underscore the need for a balanced approach that considers both

efficacy and environmental sustainability. The results emphasize the importance of integrating chemical and non-chemical strategies for holistic fruit fly management. Combining effective chemical treatments with cultural practices, biological control methods, and monitoring can enhance the overall sustainability of pest management programs. This integrated approach mitigates the risk of resistance development and minimizes environmental impact. Citrus growers can leverage the insights from this study to make informed decisions based on their specific orchard conditions and pest management goals. The efficacy of each chemical treatment, combined with considerations of residual effects and environmental impact, provides a foundation for tailoring pest management strategies to individual orchard needs. Continued research is essential to address gaps in our understanding of fruit fly behavior, insecticide resistance mechanisms, and the long-term ecological impact of chemical treatments. Exploring novel insecticides, refining application methods, and developing sustainable pest management practices are critical for the ongoing success of citrus orchards.

Conclusion

In the pursuit of effective fruit fly management in citrus orchards, this study systematically evaluated the performance of diptrex, dutiful, malathion, dichlorvos, and dimethoate as chemical control strategies. The results unveil promising prospects with diptrex and dutiful, demonstrating consistent efficacy in suppressing fruit fly populations and minimizing fruit damage. Malathion exhibited moderate control, while dichlorvos and dimethoate

presented variable outcomes, highlighting the importance of understanding their dynamics in the context of fruit fly management. The residual effects analysis emphasized the significance of considering environmental factors and the duration of insecticide persistence. While longer residual effects may offer extended protection, the study advocates for a balanced approach that integrates chemical and non-chemical strategies to mitigate environmental impact and the risk of resistance. In practical terms, citrus growers can leverage these findings to tailor pest management strategies to their orchard-specific needs. The study underscores the necessity of an adaptive and integrated approach, combining effective chemical treatments with cultural and biological control methods. As citrus cultivation navigates the complexities of pest management, ongoing research and sustainable practices are paramount to ensuring the resilience and productivity of citrus orchards in the face of fruit fly challenges.

References

1. Papadopoulos NT, Papachristos DP, Ioannou C. Citrus fruits and the Mediterranean fruit fly. *Acta Hortic.* 2015;1065:1009-18.
2. De Lima CP, Jessup AJ, Cruickshank L, Walsh CJ, Mansfield ER. Cold disinfestation of citrus (Citrus spp.) for Mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitis capitata*) and Queensland fruit fly (*Bactrocera tryoni*) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *New Zealand J. Crop Hort. Sci.* 2007;35(1):39-50.
3. Ioannou CS, Papadopoulos NT, Kouloussis NA, Tananaki CI, Katsoyannos BI. Essential oils of citrus fruit stimulate oviposition in the Mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Physiol. Entom.* 2012;37(4):330-9.
4. Umeh VC, Olaniyan AA, Ker J, Andir J. Development of citrus fruit fly control strategies for small-holders in Nigeria. *Fruits.* 2004;59(4):265-74.
5. Navarro-Llopis V, Alfaro F, Domínguez J, Sanchis J, Primo J. Evaluation of traps and lures for mass trapping of Mediterranean fruit fly in citrus groves. *J. Econ. Entom.* 2008;101(1):126-31.
6. Dias NP, Nava DE, Garcia MS, Silva FF, Valgas RA. Oviposition of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) and its relation with the pericarp of citrus fruits. *Braz. J. Biol.* 2017;78:443-8.
7. Greany PD, Styer SC, Davis PL, Shaw PE, Chambers DL. Biochemical resistance of citrus to fruit flies. Demonstration and elucidation of resistance to the Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrepha suspensa*. *Entomologia experimentalis et applicata.* 1983;34(1):40-50.
8. Papachristos DP, Papadopoulos NT, Nanos GD. Survival and development of immature stages of the Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) in citrus fruit. *J. Econ. Entom.* 2008;101(3):866-72.
9. Pinheiro LA, Dáder B, Wanumen AC, Pereira JA, Santos SA, Medina P. Side effects of pesticides on the olive fruit fly parasitoid *Psytalia concolor* (Szépligeti): A review. *Agron.* 2020;10(11):1755.
10. Mosleh YY, Moussa SF, Mohamed LH. Comparative toxicity of certain pesticides to peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* Saunders (Diptera: Tephritidae) under laboratory conditions. *Plant Protec. Sci.* 2011;47(3):115-20.
11. Wang JJ, Wei D, Dou W, Hu F, Liu WF, Wang JJ. Toxicities and synergistic effects of several insecticides against the oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). *J. Econ. Entom.* 2013;106(2):970-8.
12. Guo Z, Lu Y, Yang F, Zeng L, Liang G, Xu Y. Transmission modes of a pesticide-degrading symbiont of the oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel). *App. Microbiol. Biotech.* 2017;101:8543-56.
13. Dias NP, Zotti MJ, Montoya P, Carvalho IR, Nava DE. Fruit fly management research: A systematic review of monitoring and control tactics in the world. *Crop Protec.* 2018;112:187-200.
14. Edwards JW, Lee SG, Heath LM, Pisaniello DL. Worker exposure and a risk assessment of malathion and fenthion used in the control of Mediterranean fruit fly in South Australia. *Environ. Res.* 2007;103(1):38-45.
15. Peck SL, McQuate GT. Field tests of environmentally friendly malathion replacements to suppress wild Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) populations. *J. Econ. Entom.* 2000;93(2):280-9.
16. Zhang Y, You JZ, Zhou Y, Zhang PW, Qin DQ, Zhang ZX. The effect of dichlorvos on control of drosophila and its safety evaluation under different application methods. *Environ. Sci. Pol. Res.* 2017;24:22940-7.
17. Zwick RW, Fields GJ, Kiigemagi U. Dimethoate for control of western cherry fruit fly on sweet cherry in Oregon. *J. Econ Entom.* 1975;68(3):383-5.